

# A Problem concerning Prime Numbers

ANAND PRAKASH

In this paper, I explore the following problem:

**Problem.** Find instances where a product of distinct prime numbers is an integer multiple of the sum of the same prime numbers. Find as many such instances as possible.

Here is an example of such a situation:

$$\frac{2 \times 3 \times 5}{2 + 3 + 5} = 3, \text{ an integer.}$$

So the problem is to find prime numbers  $p, q, r, \dots$ , with  $p < q < r < \dots$ , such that

$$\frac{pqr \dots}{p + q + r + \dots} = \text{an integer.} \quad (1)$$

Let  $k$  denote the number of primes in  $\{p, q, r, \dots\}$ . The case  $k = 1$  is trivial, as the fraction simplifies to 1. In this article, we study the cases  $k = 2$ ,  $k = 3$ , and  $k = 4$  (the last case only partially).

**The case  $k = 2$ .** Here the problem is to find primes  $p, q$  (with  $p < q$ ) such that  $pq$  is an integer multiple of  $p + q$ . We shall show that it is not possible to find any such pair.

To start with, note that if both  $p, q$  are odd, then  $pq$  is odd whereas  $p + q$  is even, and an even number obviously cannot be a divisor of an odd number. Hence this situation cannot occur.

The case left to be considered is when the smaller prime is 2. Suppose that  $p = 2$ . Then the condition is that  $2q$  is an integer multiple of  $q + 2$ , with  $q > 2$ . However, if  $q > 2$ , then

$$q + 2 < 2q < 2(q + 2).$$

*Keywords: Primes, product, divisibility, parity, twin primes, arithmetic progression*

So it is not possible for  $2q$  to be an integer multiple of  $q + 2$  if  $q > 2$ . Hence this situation too cannot occur.

**This means that there are no solutions when  $k = 2$ .**

**The case  $k = 3$ .** Here the problem is to find primes  $p, q, r$  (with  $p < q < r$ ) such that  $pqr$  is an integer multiple of  $p + q + r$ . We have seen one such instance, above. The analysis turns out to be quite rich in this case. We start by considering the cases  $p = 2$  and  $p = 3$ .

*The case  $p = 2$ .* Suppose that  $p = 2$ . We need to find odd primes  $q, r$ , with  $2 < q < r$ , such that

$$2qr = n(2 + q + r), \quad \text{for some positive integer } n. \quad (2)$$

The prime factorisation of the quantity on the left side of (2) is  $2 \times q \times r$ . Hence  $2 + q + r$  is one of the following:

$$2, \quad q, \quad r, \quad 2q, \quad qr, \quad 2r, \quad 2qr.$$

All but two of these possibilities can be immediately eliminated. For example, suppose that  $2 + q + r = qr$ . This may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} qr - q - r &= 2, \\ \therefore (q - 1)(r - 1) &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is not possible, since  $r \geq 5$ . Hence it is not possible that  $2 + q + r = qr$ .

Continuing, we find that the only possibilities left are  $2 + q + r = 2q$  and  $2 + q + r = 2r$ . We consider both these in turn.

- If  $2 + q + r = 2q$ , then  $2 + r = q$ , or  $r = q - 2$ . But this is not possible, since we have supposed that  $r > q$ .
- If  $2 + q + r = 2r$ , then  $2r = 2 + q + r$ , so  $2 + q = r$ . **This means that  $q, r$  are a pair of twin primes!** The last case has yielded a very nice conclusion!

We see that the instance  $(2, 3, 5)$  is not an isolated one (note that  $3, 5$  are a pair of twin primes);  $(2, q, r)$  is a solution for any pair of twin primes  $q, r$ . We thus have the following instances:

- $(2, 5, 7)$ , with  $2 \times 5 \times 7 = 5 \times (2 + 5 + 7)$ ;
- $(2, 11, 13)$ , with  $2 \times 11 \times 13 = 11 \times (2 + 11 + 13)$ ;
- $(2, 17, 19)$ , with  $2 \times 17 \times 19 = 17 \times (2 + 17 + 19)$ ;
- $(2, 29, 31)$ , with  $2 \times 29 \times 31 = 29 \times (2 + 29 + 31)$ ; and so on.

*The case  $p = 3$ .* Suppose that  $p = 3$ . We need to find odd primes  $q, r$ , with  $3 < q < r$ , such that

$$3qr = n(3 + q + r), \quad \text{for some positive integer } n. \quad (3)$$

The prime factorisation of the quantity on the left side of (3) is  $3 \times q \times r$ . Hence  $3 + q + r$  is one of the following:

$$3, \quad q, \quad r, \quad 3q, \quad qr, \quad 3r, \quad 3qr.$$

All but two of these possibilities can be immediately eliminated. For example, suppose that  $3 + q + r = qr$ . This may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} qr - q - r &= 3, \\ \therefore (q - 1)(r - 1) &= 4. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is not possible, since  $r \geq 7$ . Hence it is not possible that  $3 + q + r = qr$ .

Continuing, we find that the only possibilities left are  $3 + q + r = 3q$  and  $3 + q + r = 3r$ . We consider both these in turn.

- If  $3 + q + r = 3r$ , then  $3 + q = 2r$ . Now we have  $r \geq q + 2$ , so we get  $3 + q \geq 2q + 4$ , or  $q \leq -1$ , which is absurd. Hence this possibility does not work out.
- If  $3 + q + r = 3q$ , then  $3 + r = 2q$ . This means that  $q$  is the arithmetic mean of 3 and  $r$ .  
**Otherwise expressed, the primes 3,  $q$ ,  $r$  form an arithmetic progression!** Once again, the last case has yielded a very nice conclusion.

To list such instances, we need to list primes  $q$  such that  $2q - 3$  is also prime. There are many primes with this property, for example:

$$5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 23, 31, \dots,$$

resulting in the following triples which satisfy the given condition:

$$(3, 5, 7), (3, 7, 11), (3, 11, 19), (3, 13, 23), (3, 17, 31), \dots$$

*The case  $p = 5$ .* Suppose that  $p = 5$ . We need to find odd primes  $q, r$ , with  $5 < q < r$ , such that

$$5qr = n(5 + q + r), \quad \text{for some positive integer } n. \quad (4)$$

The prime factorisation of the quantity on the left side of (4) is  $5 \times q \times r$ . Hence  $5 + q + r$  is one of the following:

$$5, q, r, 5q, qr, 5r, 5qr.$$

Arguing as earlier (we leave out the details; please try to fill in the details yourself), we find that only one possibility works out:  $5 + q + r = 5q$ . This leads to the following:

$$5q = 5 + q + r, \quad \therefore r = 4q - 5. \quad (5)$$

**So we must look for odd primes  $q > 5$  such that  $4q - 5$  too is prime.** Here are some primes that satisfy this condition:

$$7, 13, 19, 43, 61, 67, 79, 97, 109, 127, 151, 163, 181, \dots,$$

resulting in the following triples which satisfy the given condition:

$$\begin{aligned} (5, 7, 23), & \quad (5, 13, 47), & (5, 19, 71), & \quad (5, 43, 167), \\ (5, 61, 239), & (5, 67, 263), & (5, 79, 311), & (5, 97, 383), \\ (5, 109, 431), & (5, 127, 503), & (5, 151, 599), & \dots \end{aligned}$$

*Other primes.* The cases  $p = 7, 11, 13, \dots$  may be analysed in the same way; we leave the details to the reader. (The reasoning used is nearly the same in all these cases.) Many more solutions may be formed, all obeying similar relationships.

**The case  $k = 4$ .** Here the problem is to find primes  $p, q, r, s$  (with  $p < q < r < s$ ) such that  $pqr$  is an integer multiple of  $p + q + r + s$ . We see right away that  $p = 2$ ; for, if all four primes are odd, then their sum is even but their product is odd, so it is not possible for the product to be a multiple of the sum. Therefore the task reduces to the following: find odd primes  $q, r, s$  (with  $q < r < s$ ) such that

$$2qrs = n(2 + q + r + s), \quad \text{for some positive integer } n. \quad (6)$$

Arguing as earlier, we see that  $2 + q + r + s$  must be one of the numbers

$$2, q, r, s, 2q, 2r, 2s, qr, qs, rs, 2qr, 2qs, 2rs, qrs, 2qrs.$$

Many of these possibilities can be eliminated immediately, but some of them do yield results. We will not analyse this case in detail, but only consider one possibility, namely that  $2 + q + r + s = qr$ . This equality leads to

$$qr - q - r + 1 = s + 3, \quad \text{i.e., } s = (q - 1)(r - 1) - 3. \quad (7)$$

**So we must look for primes  $q, r$  such that  $(q-1)(r-1) - 3$  is a prime number.** Here are some possibilities:

$$(q, r) = (3, 11), (3, 17), (3, 23), (3, 29), \dots, (5, 11), (5, 17), (5, 29), \dots$$

We see that there are many solutions. The above possibilities lead to the following quadruples which are all solutions of the original problem:

$$\begin{aligned} (2, 3, 11, 17), & \quad (2, 3, 17, 29), & \quad (2, 3, 23, 41), & \quad (2, 3, 29, 53), \\ (2, 3, 47, 89), & \quad (2, 5, 11, 37), & \quad (2, 5, 17, 61), & \quad (2, 5, 29, 109), \\ (2, 5, 41, 157), & \quad (2, 5, 47, 181), & \quad (2, 11, 17, 157), & \quad (2, 11, 29, 277), \\ (2, 11, 41, 397), & \quad (2, 11, 47, 457), & \quad (2, 17, 23, 349), & \quad (2, 17, 47, 733), \\ (2, 23, 29, 613), & \quad (2, 23, 41, 877), & \quad (2, 23, 47, 1009), & \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

There are clearly lots of solutions, and further exploration is surely possible. The problem seems rich, revealing many interesting relationships among prime numbers.



**ANAND PRAKASH** runs a small garment shop at Kesariya village in the state of Bihar. He has a keen interest in number theory and recreational mathematics and has published many papers in international journals in these fields. He also has a deep interest in classical Indian music as well as cooking. In addition, he has written a large number of poems in Hindi. He may be contacted at [prakashanand805@gmail.com](mailto:prakashanand805@gmail.com).